Soros Funding To Stone California

By Rachel Ehrenfeld @ Big Peace Monday, November 1st, 2010 @ 3:07AM

Soros' well publicized contribution of \$1 million to sway the voters to legalize marijuana in California, is his latest effort over close to two decades and many millions of dollars to make the once unthinkable acceptable, and turn a functioning society into a stoned and dependent one.

Soros makes no secret that he believes "normal rules" and laws do not apply to him or his activities. After years of brilliantly running an international hedge fund, one that remains virtually unaccountable, Soros has created a similar maze of linked and crossfunding nonprofits, often enabling him to totally deny his involvement in political actions.

Until the early 1990s, the voices to legalize drugs in the United States were not in sync. This changed with Soros' first foray into U.S. internal affairs in 1992-1993 after making more than \$1 billion, speculating against the British pound.

Soros declared: "The war on drugs is doing more harm to our society than drug abuse itself," and proceeded with his checkbook advocacy through his Open Society Institute (OSI) to give some \$15 million to establish and fund several pro-drug legalization organizations. Soros' sponsorship unified the movement to legalize drugs and gave it the respectability and credibility it lacked. In 1996 I opined in the Wall Street Journal that unchallenged, Soros would change the political landscape in America.

Soros, whose motto is, "If I spend enough, I make it right," uses his donations and philanthropy to change-or more accurately deconstruct-the moral values and attitudes of the Western world, particularly of the American people, and adjust them to his vision of what's "right."

His "open society" is not about freedom; it is about license. His vision rejects the notion of liberty, in favor of his "progressive" ideology of rights and entitlements.

While other billionaires give to cure diseases, lessen hunger, and the arts, or to better the quality of the lives of their fellow men, Soros has been funding campaigns for drug legalization and network of "progressive" organizations to lead American domestic and foreign policies in the path he envisions.

Together with other drug legalization proponents, Soros' message is that drug use is here to stay, constituting a civil right. But murder, rape, and robbery are with us, too,

and most occur when the perpetrator is under the influence of mind-altering substance. Should these actions also become civil rights?

Soros and the backers of medical marijuana and drug legalization claim that the price of government-provided "legal" drugs would significantly lower their current price, removing the necessity to commit crimes in order to secure the drugs.

But how much would governmental administration add to the cost? And what will happen when the "tax" is added on? When the government outsources the production and distribution, would pharmaceutical and new marijuana producers be asked to give up their profits? How much would it cost to investigate the black market that will flourish supplying groups prohibited by law from receiving drugs-adolescents, airline pilots, police officers, etc.?

This new industry would create jobs. But are there going to be enough sober workers to perform them?

According to the information posted on website of the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA), "studies associate workers' marijuana smoking with increased absences, tardiness, accidents, workers' compensation claims, and job turnover."

In Hollywood, where drug abuse afflicts many, the best and most successful acknowledge the harm of marijuana use. Interviewed on December 2009 by Inconnection.com, Oscar winner Jeff Bridges spoke of his preparations for the role of the pot- smoking "Dude" in The Big Lebowski: "I wanted to serve the script that was there and have all my wits about me, so I didn't indulge. I made that mistake early in my career. 'Oh, I'm playing a drunk, I'll just get drunk.' That works for maybe an hour but you can't maintain that."

Looking at the issue through the lens of common sense, Californians would realize that being under the influence of mind-altering substances is the problem, not the laws that prohibit them.

In 1994 Soros told the New York Times: "I'm very comfortable with my public persona because it is one I have created for myself. It represents what I like to be as distinct from what I really am." For that, Hollywood may consider giving Soros a Life Time Pretense Achievement Award. But his well funded campaign to legalize marijuana and stone California should be defeated.

Dr. Rachel Ehrenfeld, Director of NYC based American Center for Democracy

FOLLOW US

Rachel Ehrenfeld @ Big Peace Categories: Soros, U.S. Policy

The American Center for Democracy is dedicated to exposing and monitoring political,
economic, and security threats to the United States from within and without. We provide
knowledge based analysis and risk assessment on national and international threats.
The ACD is an independent, not for profit organization that does not accept
contributions from the U.S. or any foreign government or quasi-official institutions.
Learn more